

The Potential Role of Artificial Muscle

Hannah Elise Vogel*

Heidelberg University, Grabengasse 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Baden-Württemberg, Germany

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Hannah Elise Vogel

Author's Contact: Dr. Hannah Elise Vogel, PhD, MPH, E-mail: hannah.vogel@uni-heidelberg.de

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.26.op108>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Artificial muscles, biomimetic materials capable of replicating the contractile properties of human muscle, represent a frontier in engineering, robotics, and medical rehabilitation. Unlike traditional actuators, artificial muscles offer flexibility, adaptability, and energy efficiency, enabling applications ranging from soft robotics to prosthetics and wearable devices. The potential of artificial muscles extends beyond mechanical replacement; they offer transformative possibilities in enhancing human performance, facilitating rehabilitation, and creating responsive, bio-inspired machines. Despite rapid advancements in material science and engineering, challenges remain in scalability, durability, and integration with biological systems. Ethical, social, and economic considerations also arise, particularly regarding human augmentation and accessibility. This article argues that the development of artificial muscles is not merely a technological pursuit but a multidisciplinary opportunity to reshape human-machine interaction. By critically examining current research, potential applications, and societal implications, we can better understand the transformative potential and limitations of artificial muscles in shaping the future of medicine, robotics, and human enhancement.

Keywords: Artificial Muscles; Robotics; Prosthetics; Biomimetic Materials; Human Augmentation

Science Insights, January 30, 2026; Vol. 48, No. 1, pp.2103-2106.

© 2026 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

THE CONCEPT of artificial muscle, materials engineered to mimic the contractile properties of biological muscle, has evolved from science fiction to an active area of scientific exploration. As traditional actuators—electric motors and hydraulic systems—often struggle to replicate the subtlety, flexibility, and energy efficiency of human muscle, artificial muscles offer a promising alternative (Rus & Tolley, 2015).

These materials, which can be composed of electroactive polymers, shape-memory alloys, carbon nanotubes, or other advanced composites, contract and expand in response to stimuli, creating movement and force in a manner reminiscent of natural tissue. The potential implications of artificial muscles are vast, spanning soft robotics, prosthetic development, rehabilitation technologies, and even human augmentation. Their development

signals a shift in engineering philosophy: from rigid, mechanical constructs toward adaptive, life-like systems that blur the boundary between biology and machine.

Soft robotics is among the most immediate beneficiaries of artificial muscle technology (Kim et al., 2013). Unlike traditional robots with rigid frames, soft robots constructed with artificial muscles can navigate complex environments, manipulate delicate objects, and interact safely with humans. For example, robots designed for disaster response could use artificial muscles to traverse debris, squeeze through confined spaces, or delicately remove hazardous materials without the risk of breaking or harming surrounding structures (Herr, 2009). Similarly, in manufacturing and logistics, soft robotic grippers equipped with artificial muscles could handle fragile items, improving efficiency while minimizing product damage. The ability to replicate nuanced movements opens possibilities for automation in contexts previously considered too delicate or unpredictable for machines.

In medicine, artificial muscles offer transformative potential for prosthetics and rehabilitation. Conventional prosthetic limbs often rely on rigid mechanical components and manual control systems, limiting natural movement and imposing significant cognitive and physical demands on the user (Polygerinos, 2015). By contrast, prosthetics integrated with artificial muscles can produce smoother, more responsive movements, closely mimicking biological limbs. Electroactive polymers, for instance, can respond to electrical signals from residual muscles or neural inputs, enabling more intuitive control. This can enhance mobility, reduce fatigue, and improve quality of life for amputees. Beyond limb replacement, artificial muscles may play a role in exoskeletons that assist individuals with spinal cord injuries or age-related mobility decline, offering adaptive support tailored to the user's strength and movement patterns.

The potential of artificial muscles extends to human augmentation. In theory, wearable systems enhanced with artificial muscles could increase human strength, endurance, or dexterity. Exosuits powered by artificial muscles could assist workers in physically demanding tasks, reducing injury risk and enhancing productivity (Bar-Cohen, 2004). Athletes or emergency responders might benefit from devices that provide temporary strength or precision enhancements. However, these applications raise ethical and societal questions. Human augmentation introduces debates regarding fairness, access, and potential misuse. Moreover, the integration of artificial muscles into human biology or wearable systems must carefully balance benefit with safety, as overreliance or improper use could create physiological or psychological challenges.

Despite their promise, artificial muscles face significant scientific and engineering challenges. Current materials may suffer from limited durability, slow response times, or energy inefficiency compared to natural muscle (Dollar & Herr, 2008). Integrating artificial muscles into complex systems, whether in robotics or prosthetics, requires sophisticated control algorithms, sensors, and feedback mechanisms to emulate the coordination of biological tissue. Scalability is also a concern; producing artificial muscles that can operate at the size, strength, and speed required for diverse applications remains a technological hurdle.

Addressing these limitations requires collaboration across materials science, robotics, biomechanics, and computational modeling, as well as iterative testing in real-world environments.

The societal and economic implications of artificial muscle technology are equally important. As devices become more capable, questions of accessibility and equity arise. High-cost prosthetics or augmentation devices risk widening health disparities if they are only available to those with financial resources (Cianchetti et al., 2018). Regulation and oversight are needed to ensure safety, ethical deployment, and the prevention of misuse in military, industrial, or recreational contexts. Additionally, public perception and acceptance of artificial muscle technology may influence its adoption; people may be hesitant to trust machines that emulate or enhance human movement, particularly if integrated directly into the body. Clear communication, ethical guidelines, and user-centered design are essential to address these challenges.

Artificial muscles also challenge conventional notions of robotics and human-machine interaction. Traditional robots operate as discrete, external tools, while soft, muscle-like systems can blend seamlessly into human environments, adapting dynamically to stimuli and exerting force in life-like ways (Haines et al., 2014). This convergence of mechanical engineering and biology suggests a future in which machines are not merely extensions of human intention but collaborators with adaptive intelligence and responsiveness. In medicine, such systems could work alongside patients, adjusting assistance in real time to accommodate fatigue, injury, or recovery progress. In industry, they could adapt to the unpredictability of human workspaces without the rigidity and safety concerns associated with traditional automation. The development of artificial muscles thus represents not only a technical advancement but also a philosophical shift in how humans conceive of machines and their integration into daily life.

Research in artificial muscles also inspires broader technological innovation. The pursuit of biomimetic materials has stimulated progress in nanotechnology, polymer science, and bioengineering (Mirfakhrai et al., 2007). Lessons learned from mimicking muscle contraction can inform energy storage, responsive materials, and adaptive structures in other domains. The feedback loop between fundamental science and applied engineering exemplifies the interdisciplinary nature of contemporary innovation. As artificial muscles become more capable, the boundary between natural and synthetic movement may blur, opening new avenues for understanding human physiology and designing life-like machines.

Looking forward, the potential role of artificial muscles must be evaluated in terms of sustainability and long-term impact. Materials used in artificial muscles must be environmentally responsible, particularly if deployed at scale in industrial robotics or consumer devices (Baughman et al., 2002). Energy efficiency is a critical factor, as artificial muscles need to compete with traditional actuators in both performance and resource consumption. Moreover, the integration of artificial muscles into daily life—through wearable devices, prosthetics, or robotics—must consider maintenance, longevity, and adaptability to evolving human needs. The goal is not only to replicate muscle function but to create systems that are resilient, ethical, and

sustainable over time.

Ultimately, artificial muscles embody a convergence of biology, engineering, and human aspiration. Their development promises to reshape the landscape of robotics, medicine, and human-machine interaction, offering unprecedented flexibility, adaptability, and capability. While technical and societal challenges remain, the potential benefits are profound: enhanced mobility, more life-like prosthetics, safer and more adaptable robotics, and the possibility of responsible human augmentation. The evolution of artificial muscle technology underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration, ethical foresight, and careful consideration of long-term consequences. By embracing these principles, society can harness the transformative potential of artificial muscles, ensuring that this technology enhances human life without compromising safety, equity, or sustainability.

In conclusion, artificial muscles are more than a technological curiosity—they are a platform for innovation that bridges biology and engineering. From soft robotics capable of delicate and complex movements to prosthetic and exoskeleton applications that restore or enhance human function, the promise of artificial muscles is vast. Achieving their full potential will require advances in materials, control systems, and ethical frameworks. The journey of artificial muscles illustrates the broader trajectory of technological evolution: a movement toward systems that are responsive, adaptive, and integrated with human needs. As research and development continue, artificial muscles have the potential to redefine human capability, redefine robotics, and expand the possibilities of medicine and rehabilitation, positioning them as one of the most exciting frontiers of contemporary science and engineering.



Received: September 29, 2025 | Revised: November 11, 2025 | Accepted: December 20, 2025

References

- Bar-Cohen, Y. (2004). Electroactive polymer (EAP) actuators as artificial muscles: Reality, potential, and challenges. SPIE Press. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1117/3.547465>
- Baughman, R. H., Cui, C., Zakhidov, A. A., Iqbal, Z., Barisci, J. N., Spinks, G. M., ... Wallace, G. G. (2002). Carbon nanotube actuators. *Science*, 284(5418), 1340–1344. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5418.1340>
- Cianchetti, M., Laschi, C., Menciassi, A., & Dario, P. (2018). Biomedical applications of soft robotics. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 3(6), 143–153. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-018-0022-y>
- Dollar, A. M., & Herr, H. (2008). Lower extremity exoskeletons and active orthoses: Challenges and state of the art. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine*, 15(3), 36–49. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2008.927689>
- Haines, C. S., Lima, M. D., Li, N., Spinks, G. M., Foroughi, J., Madden, J. D. W., ... Baughman, R. H. (2014). Artificial muscles from fishing line and sewing thread. *Science*, 343(6173), 868–872. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246906>
- Herr, H. (2009). Exoskeletons and orthoses: Classification, design challenges and future directions. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 6, 21. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-6-21>
- Kim, S., Laschi, C., & Trimmer, B. (2013). Soft robotics: A bioinspired evolution in robotics. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 31(5), 287–294. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.03.002>
- Mirfakhrai, T., Madden, J. D. W., & Baughman, R. H. (2007). Polymer artificial muscles. *Materials Today*, 10(4), 30–38. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021\(07\)70048-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(07)70048-2)
- Polygerinos, P., Wang, Z., Overvelde, J. T. B., Galloway, K. C., Wood, R. J., Bertoldi, K., & Walsh, C. J. (2015). Modeling of soft fiber-reinforced bending actuators. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 31(3), 778–789. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TRO.2015.2428504>
- Rus, D., & Tolley, M. T. (2015). Design, fabrication and control of soft robots. *Nature*, 521(7553), 467–475. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14543>