

How Mathematicians Think in Their Brains

Ivana Kovačević*

University of Split School of Medicine, Šoltanska 2, 21000 Split, Croatia

*: All correspondence should be sent to: Dr. Ivana Kovačević.

Author's Contact: Ivana Kovačević, Ph.D., E-mail: ivana.kovacevic@mefst.hr

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15354/si.26.pe171>

Funding: No funding source declared.

COI: The author declares no competing interest.

AI Declaration: The author affirms that artificial intelligence did not contribute to the process of preparing the work.

Mathematical thinking is a complex cognitive process that integrates abstract reasoning, spatial visualization, pattern recognition, and logical deduction. Neuroscientific research suggests that mathematicians engage a distributed network of brain regions, including the intraparietal sulcus, prefrontal cortex, and angular gyrus, to process numerical concepts, manipulate symbols, and generate proofs. Expert mathematicians demonstrate both domain-specific and domain-general cognitive strategies, relying on intuition, visualization, and symbolic manipulation to solve complex problems. This article explores how mathematicians think in their brains, examining neural correlates, cognitive strategies, and the role of experience and training in shaping mathematical cognition. Understanding these processes illuminates the interplay between analytical reasoning and creative insight, offering implications for education, artificial intelligence, and cognitive enhancement. By analyzing how mathematicians translate abstract concepts into mental representations, we can better appreciate the neural foundations of one of humanity's most profound intellectual achievements.

Keywords: Mathematical Cognition; Neural Networks; Abstract Reasoning; Problem Solving; Brain Function

Science Insights, May 31, 2026; Vol. 48, No. 5, pp.2215-2218.

© 2026 Insights Publisher. All rights reserved.



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed by the Insights Publisher.

MATHEMATICS has often been described as a purely abstract discipline, operating in a realm detached from the tangible world. Yet, the human brain provides the foundation for every mathematical insight, theorem, and calculation. How mathematicians think—how they process symbols, recognize patterns, and reason about abstract con-

cepts—is a question that bridges neuroscience, cognitive psychology, and philosophy. Recent advances in brain imaging and cognitive studies provide a window into the neural mechanisms underlying mathematical thought, revealing the intricate interplay between logic, visualization, intuition, and memory (Dehaene, 2011; Butterworth et al., 2011). Understanding these

processes not only deepens our appreciation of mathematical creativity but also sheds light on general principles of human cognition and learning.

One of the central features of mathematical thinking is abstraction. Mathematicians routinely manipulate concepts that have no physical counterpart, such as imaginary numbers, infinite series, or topological spaces. Neuroscientific studies suggest that this capacity engages the intraparietal sulcus, a region associated with numerical processing and magnitude representation, alongside the prefrontal cortex, which supports executive functions, planning, and logical reasoning (Dehaene et al., 2003; Nieder, 2016). This network allows mathematicians to translate abstract ideas into structured mental representations that can be manipulated, analyzed, and synthesized into solutions. The integration of these regions enables complex reasoning that blends symbolic manipulation with spatial and relational understanding. Spatial visualization is another hallmark of mathematical cognition. Many mathematicians report “seeing” structures, graphs, or geometric configurations in their minds, using these mental images to explore relationships and generate insights. Functional MRI studies have shown that parietal and occipital regions, responsible for spatial awareness and visual processing, are active during mathematical problem-solving, even for abstract algebraic or topological tasks (Zago et al., 2001; Amalric & Dehaene, 2016). This suggests that the brain leverages spatial intuition to understand abstract concepts, providing a bridge between visual reasoning and symbolic manipulation. Mental imagery allows mathematicians to explore multidimensional spaces, visualize transformations, and detect patterns that might elude purely symbolic thinking.

Pattern recognition is equally crucial. Mathematicians identify recurring structures, symmetries, and analogies that enable efficient problem-solving. This skill appears to rely on the anterior cingulate cortex and regions involved in working memory, which allow the brain to track relationships and compare multiple representations simultaneously (Botvinick et al., 2004). Expert mathematicians excel in rapidly recognizing deep structural similarities between seemingly unrelated problems, a cognitive skill developed through extensive training and immersion in mathematical concepts (Chi et al., 1981). Pattern recognition not only accelerates problem-solving but also underpins the ability to conjecture, generalize, and innovate.

Memory and prior experience also shape mathematical thinking. Long-term memory stores theorems, formulas, and strategies, while working memory supports the manipulation of intermediate results and the planning of multi-step proofs. Studies suggest that expert mathematicians exhibit greater functional connectivity between memory-related regions, such as the hippocampus, and executive areas of the prefrontal cortex (Qin et al., 2014). This enhanced integration allows them to retrieve relevant knowledge efficiently, evaluate potential approaches, and adapt strategies dynamically as problems evolve. In essence, mathematical thinking is scaffolded by both knowledge and cognitive architecture, with experience amplifying the brain’s capacity for abstraction and insight.

Intuition and insight are often described as mysterious yet indispensable components of mathematical thought. Mathematicians frequently experience sudden realizations, known as “aha

moments,” where complex solutions or relationships become apparent without conscious step-by-step reasoning. These moments appear to involve interactions between the prefrontal cortex and broader associative networks, including affective and reward-related regions (Kounios & Beeman, 2014). The affective component may reinforce successful discoveries, promoting engagement and motivation. Insight-driven cognition highlights that mathematical thinking is not purely analytical; it combines rational deduction with subconscious integration of prior knowledge, patterns, and experience.

Language and symbolic representation further shape mathematical cognition. Mathematicians translate abstract ideas into symbols, equations, and diagrams, enabling precise communication and manipulation. Neural studies indicate that left-hemisphere language areas contribute to symbolic and syntactic processing, while right-hemisphere regions support spatial and visual representations (Dehaene et al., 1999; Amalric & Dehaene, 2016). This hemispheric integration allows mathematicians to move fluidly between verbal, symbolic, and visual modalities, providing cognitive flexibility in problem-solving and theory development. Language thus serves as both a tool for externalizing thought and an internal scaffold for reasoning.

Cognitive strategies employed by mathematicians vary according to expertise and problem type. Novices often rely on step-by-step procedural reasoning, emphasizing explicit rules and computations. Experts, in contrast, utilize chunking, pattern recognition, and analogical reasoning to navigate complex problems efficiently (Chi et al., 1981). Studies show that expert problem-solvers exhibit reduced activation in basic computational areas but increased activation in regions associated with conceptual understanding, abstraction, and strategic planning (Amalric & Dehaene, 2016). This shift reflects the brain’s adaptation through experience, where lower-level computations are automated, freeing cognitive resources for higher-order reasoning and creative insight.

Emotions and motivation play subtle but important roles in mathematical thinking. Frustration, curiosity, and aesthetic appreciation influence persistence and engagement. Reward circuits, including dopaminergic pathways, are activated when solutions are discovered or elegant proofs recognized (Kounios & Beeman, 2014). This affective dimension underscores that mathematical cognition is not purely mechanistic but intertwined with psychological factors that drive sustained effort, creativity, and innovation.

Recent research in mathematical neuroscience explores whether different types of thinking—algebraic, geometric, topological, or probabilistic—engage distinct neural circuits. While overlapping regions are involved, subtle differences emerge: geometry relies more heavily on parietal and visual areas, algebra emphasizes symbolic and linguistic regions, and higher-level abstract reasoning recruits frontal and executive networks (Amalric & Dehaene, 2016; Nieder, 2016). This specialization suggests that mathematicians dynamically recruit brain networks based on task demands, highlighting the flexibility and modularity of cognitive architecture.

Understanding how mathematicians think has implications beyond neuroscience. Insights into cognitive strategies can inform educational practices, promoting teaching methods that

cultivate intuition, visualization, and pattern recognition alongside procedural knowledge (Butterworth et al., 2011). In artificial intelligence, modeling human mathematical reasoning provides inspiration for algorithms capable of abstraction and analogical reasoning. Cognitive enhancement research may also benefit, exploring how practice and neuroplasticity can enhance problem-solving capabilities across disciplines.

Finally, the study of mathematical thinking reveals the interplay between rationality and creativity. While formal proofs and computations follow strict logical rules, discovery and insight often emerge from associative and heuristic reasoning (Hadamard, 1945/1954). The brain integrates these modes seamlessly, allowing mathematicians to explore uncharted conceptual territories while maintaining rigor. This balance between creativity and logic exemplifies the unique cognitive profile of mathematical expertise and highlights the human brain's re-

markable capacity for abstract, symbolic thought.

Therefore, mathematicians think through a distributed and highly integrated network of brain regions that support abstraction, visualization, pattern recognition, memory, and insight. Their cognition combines rational analysis with intuition, symbolic manipulation with spatial reasoning, and structured knowledge with creative exploration. Experience and training enhance the efficiency and connectivity of these networks, enabling experts to navigate complex problems with fluency and insight. By examining the neural and cognitive processes underlying mathematical thought, we gain a deeper understanding of one of humanity's most profound intellectual capacities. This knowledge not only illuminates the nature of expertise but also provides practical insights for education, artificial intelligence, and cognitive enhancement, demonstrating the remarkable interplay between the human brain and abstract reasoning. ■

Received: January 20, 2026 | Revised: February 19, 2026 | Accepted: March 19, 2026

References

- Amalric, M., & Dehaene, S. (2016). Origins of the brain networks for advanced mathematics in expert mathematicians. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(18), 4909–4917. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603205113>
- Botvinick, M. M., Cohen, J. D., & Carter, C. S. (2004). Conflict monitoring and anterior cingulate cortex: An update. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 8(12), 539–546. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.10.003>
- Butterworth, B., Varma, S., & Laurillard, D. (2011). Dyscalculia: From brain to education. *Science*, 332(6033), 1049–1053. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201536>
- Chi, M. T. H., Feltovich, P. J., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. *Cognitive Science*, 5(2), 121–152. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog0502_2
- Dehaene, S. (2011). *The number sense: How the mind creates mathematics* (Rev. ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Dehaene, S., Piazza, M., Pinel, P., & Cohen, L. (2003). Three parietal circuits for number processing. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 20(3–6), 487–506. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02643290244000239>
- Dehaene, S., Spelke, E., Pinel, P., Stanescu, R., & Tsivkin, S. (1999). Sources of mathematical thinking: Behavioral and brain-imaging evidence. *Science*, 284(5416), 970–974. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5416.970>
- Hadamard, J. (1954). *The psychology of invention in the mathematical field*. Dover. (Original work published 1945)
- Kounios, J., & Beeman, M. (2014). The cognitive neuroscience of insight. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 65, 71–93. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psyc-010213-115154>
- Nieder, A. (2016). The neuronal code for number. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(6), 366–382. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.40>
- Qin, S., Cho, S., Chen, T., Rosenberg-Lee, M., Geary, D. C., & Menon, V. (2014). Hippocampal-neocortical functional reorganization underlies children's cognitive development. *Nature Neuroscience*, 17(9), 1263–1269. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3788>
- Zago, L., Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., et al. (2001). Neural correlates of simple and complex mental calculation. *NeuroImage*, 13(2), 314–327. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2000.0697>